

DOCUMENT1

ADDENDUM TO ITEM 12: APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SUBMISSION VERSION OF PLAN:MK FOR CABINET MEETING TUESDAY 3 OCTOBER 2017.

Introduction

The following amendments to Plan:MK are changes proposed by Officers to correct errors and omissions, which have come to light since Plan:MK was produced for the Cabinet meeting on Tuesday 3 October. Additionally this list includes changes proposed by members, which have not already been incorporated into the plan.

In relation to paragraph 4.2 of the report and its section on the cost of preparing Plan:MK, Cabinet is asked to note that whilst the costs of consultation and submission will be covered from existing budget provision, additional funds will probably need to be secured for the public examination, the cost of which could exceed £100,000. Some of this additional funding may be offset by the Planning Service's income generation initiatives such as Planning Performance Agreements and charging fees for pre-application advice.

Policy and/or Paragraph Number	Details of Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
Policy SD13	Additional text to be added following “ <i>the needs of Milton Keynes up to 2031 and beyond.</i> ” Text to read: “Planning permission for housing and associated uses will not be granted until there is certainty about the alignment of the Cambridge-Milton Keynes-Oxford Expressway.”	To ensure the site is not brought forward until the full impact and land take of the expressway is clarified.
Policy SD13	Removal of text “ <i>for SEMK 1</i> ” from final paragraph.	Editing error.
Policy ER9 (Employment Issues and the Rural Economy)	Insert ‘small scale’ in criterion 5	Member requested amendment reflecting concern that existing wording would allow

Policy and/or Paragraph Number	Details of Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
	5. The expansion of <u>small scale</u> businesses in their existing locations depending on the nature of the activities involved the character of the site and its accessibility.	massive expansion of businesses
Table 6.2 Retail hierarchy of Town centres within the Borough of Milton Keynes	Amend criterion 1 after City Centre delete the words 'Central Milton Keynes (CMK)',	Duplication of Central Milton Keynes in adjacent column and to ensure conformity with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).
	Amend criterion 4 after Local delete the words 'and village'	There is no category of village shop in the NPPF, shops in villages are either local centres or they are not.
Add to plan a list of existing local centres within the Borough	List all relevant local centres which comply with relevant NPPF criteria in an appendix to Plan:MK and ensure they are shown on the Proposals Map.	Reflects retail consultant's recommendation. Parts of the Council's Proposals map date from 2005, it needs updating to reflect the development of local centres that has occurred since then.
Policy ER10 (Character and Function of the Shopping Hierarchy)	Amend first sentence of policy to delete the words ' <i>subject to the scale of the proposal reflecting the characteristics of the centre within which it is located.</i> '	Reflects advice from Council's retail consultants. To align the wording of the policy with that of the NPPF.
Amend criterion 2 on Town Centres	Insert 'and comparison' into criterion 2 The Town centre of Kingston, Westcroft, Bletchley and Wolverton will cater for the daily and weekly convenience <u>and comparison</u> shopping and service needs of their catchment populations.	Amendment to clarify the town centres cater for some comparison shopping needs of their catchment populations.
Policy ER10 (Character and Function of the Shopping Hierarchy)	Delete the words 'daily or specialist' Insert	

Policy and/or Paragraph Number	Details of Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
the Shopping Hierarchy)	new text shown underlined	
Amend criterion 3 on District centres	<p>The District centres of Newport Pagnell, Olney, Stony Stratford and Woburn Sands will function primarily as local shopping destinations catering for (delete <i>daily or special/ist</i>) the shopping and service needs of their local catchment populations and in recognition of the constraints placed on their development by conservation and allied considerations.</p> <p>Delete 'and village'. Delete 'and proposed' centres</p> <p>Local (delete '<i>and village</i>') centres: Existing (delete '<i>and proposed</i>') local centres will provide convenience shopping and service facilities in order to reduce car dependency and to ensure ready access by non -car owning households and other people with limited or impaired mobility</p>	<p>Reflects advice from Council's retail consultants on the role and function of the District centres.</p> <p>To accord with the NPPF,</p>
Amend criterion 4 Local and Village centres	<p>Amend final sentence of policy to clarify that the scale of retail and service floorspace provided in new areas of residential development will be determined in Development Frameworks for those areas.</p>	<p>Links to policy SD11, change is to provide greater control over the extent of retail floorspace provided in new areas of development.</p>
Policy ER10 (Character and Function of the Shopping Hierarchy)	Reword policy to more fully reflect sequential test and impact test of NPPF and the thresholds the Council is using to assess development proposals.	Reflects advice from Council's retail consultants that current policy wording can be stronger.
Policy ER11 (Assessing Edge of Centre and Out of Centre Retail Proposals)		

Policy and/or Paragraph Number	Details of Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
Amend criterion 4 of Policy ER11 (Assessing Edge of centre and Out of Centre proposals) and last sentence of paragraph 6.52	Delete criterion 4 which refers to the development facilitating linked trips to existing out-of-centre developments. Delete last sentence of para 6.52 which refers to linked trips.	Feedback from the Council's retail consultants is that this wording undermines the sequential policy of promoting development within town centres and therefore would not be compatible with the NPPF. Deleting this wording also assists in promoting a CMK Renaissance and the regeneration of Bletchley.
Paragraph 6.52	Add reference to Westcroft, Rooksley and Denbigh North and refer to policy.	To clarify what sites are defined as 'out of centre' within the plan and what the Council's approach is to development proposals there.
Policy ER13 New Village shops Chapter 6	Delete 'Village' in title of policy Add a plan to this chapter to help illustrate the city centre of CMK, its expanded primary shopping area and Block B4	To comply with the NPPF. To illustrate the area of the city centre & primary shopping area & to show Block B4 which is allocated for the development of further and higher education (MK:U).
Amend final sentence of paragraph 6.59, supporting text to policy ER16	Inset the word 'demonstration' in the following sentence.	Wording sought by a member.
Policy ER16 (Car –Related Retail Uses)	Shop units in the centre:mk and Intu buildings feature <u>demonstration</u> showrooms for the Electric Vehicle Experience centre and Tesla.	Member amendment

Policy and/or Paragraph Number	Details of Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
	<p>residential areas, providing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - there is good access for towed vehicles and delivery of parts - the site is located so as to minimise "bad neighbour" problems from noise or smell 	<p>To ensure consistency between the policy and the contents of the associated table 6.3.</p>
Policy ER19 (Non –retail Uses on Ground Floors in Town Centres)	Amend policy and accompanying table	<p>To reflect comments from the Milton Keynes Clinical Commissioning Group</p>
Additional wording to last sentence of criterion (ii) of policy INF1 (Delivering Infrastructure)	<p>Include 'health' after local and before shopping in the following sentence. This might include improvements for highway schemes such as bus and rail provisions and enhancement for walking and cycling facilities or the provision of local <u>health</u>, shopping and recreational facilities.</p>	
Policy HN2	<p>Amend criteria as below:</p> <p>"C. Where a development is proposed that complies with the outlined Milton Keynes definition for Build to Rent, the full 3031% affordable housing offer can be provided entirely as discounted market rent (DMR) to be managed by the Build to Rent provider. Alternatively a financial contribution in lieu of the provision of on-site affordable housing will be accepted. In this case, the financial contribution to be provided would be subject to a viability assessment.</p>	<p>To correct a drafting error.</p>

Policy and/or Paragraph Number	Details of Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
Paragraph 15.21	Where the development proposes to provide affordable housing at DMR, the Council will require housing costs to be set at no more than 3031% of a gross household income”.	Officer note no longer required
Appendix E – Housing Sites	<p>Delete this paragraph</p> <p>Insertion of site specific policies for sites; Milton Keynes Rugby Club, Greenleys; and The Walnuts, Redhouse Park.</p>	To provide principles that the development of these two sites should adhere to.
Table 23.2	Subsequent changes made to reflect the estimated housing numbers for these sites as proposed in site specific policies.	